Arthatama
Journal of Business Management and Accounting
ISSN: 2655-786x (p), 2774-5678 (e)

Vol. 8, No. 2 (2024), pp. 49-67

Adaptive Financial Risk Management Strategies for Startup Sustainability: A Systematic Literature Review

Fajrul Falah[1]

[1] Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia
ffalah10@gmail.com

Abstract

Startups face significant financial risks due to constrained capital, volatile markets, and dependency on external funding. This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to examine existing research on financial risk management (FRM) strategies tailored to startups across industries and regions. Key risk factors identified include liquidity constraints, investment uncertainties, and regulatory challenges. The findings suggest that adaptive risk management frameworks—such as capital diversification, financial technology integration, and strategic governance—are crucial in mitigating financial vulnerabilities. Furthermore, financial literacy and managerial competency play pivotal roles in enhancing FRM effectiveness. This study contributes to the literature by presenting an evidence-based approach to optimizing startup FRM, emphasizing flexibility and proactive assessment. The research concludes with practical recommendations for startup founders, investors, and policymakers to implement robust and dynamic financial risk management strategies for long-term sustainability.

Keywords

Financial Risk Management, Financial Technology, Liquidity Constraints, Startups

1. Introduction

In today's fast-paced and unpredictable business landscape, startups are emerging as key drivers of innovation and economic growth. However, while these young ventures bring fresh ideas and disruptive solutions to the market, they also face significant financial risks that can threaten their survival. One of the biggest challenges startups encounter is financial management, largely due to their limited capital and uncertain revenue streams. Unlike established businesses with stable income sources, startups often rely on external funding from venture capitalists, angel investors, or government grants to sustain their operations (Singh & Subrahmanya, 2020). While this funding can provide essential resources for growth, it also comes with inherent risks, such as dependency on investor confidence and the pressure to deliver rapid results.

The flexible organizational structures of startups enable them to adapt quickly to market changes. However, this same adaptability can lead to financial instability if not managed effectively (Falih, 2020). Without proper financial planning and risk mitigation strategies, startups may struggle to allocate their resources efficiently, leading to operational inefficiencies and potential failure. Research by Schachel et al. (2021) highlights that a startup's ability to secure long-term funding depends on demonstrating consistent growth and profitability, adding another layer of pressure on founders and management teams.

The alarming reality is that over 90% of startups fail within their first five years, with financial mismanagement being a primary cause. A study by Venczel et al. (2024) found that financial instability, particularly poor cash flow management and difficulty in securing additional funding, plays a crucial role in startup failures. This aligns with Gunanto (2023), who emphasizes that liquidity risk—the risk of running out of cash—poses a significant threat to startups. Insufficient cash flow can halt daily operations, prevent growth, and ultimately lead to business closure. The nature of cash flow in startups is often unpredictable, requiring a robust financial management strategy tailored to their unique challenges (Idris, 2024; Gunanto, 2023).

Beyond liquidity concerns, startups also face risks associated with market fluctuations and credit defaults. Unlike established companies with diversified revenue streams, startups are particularly vulnerable to external economic conditions, such as sudden market downturns or unexpected regulatory changes (Yudaruddin et al., 2024). Many startups operate on tight financial margins, making them highly susceptible to shifts in investor sentiment and market conditions. Additionally, because startups often lack the extensive credit history required to secure traditional loans, they face difficulties in obtaining financing when needed. This situation is further complicated when customers or business partners default on payments, creating a ripple effect that disrupts financial stability (Yudaruddin, 2024; Zhou, 2024).

Given these challenges, effective financial risk management (FRM) strategies are essential for startups to survive and grow. However, the traditional financial

management frameworks used by large corporations often fail to address the unique needs of startups. Van Opstal and Borms (2023) argue that financial strategies effective in large corporations are not directly applicable to startups due to differences in operational scale, resource availability, and risk exposure. Startups require tailored financial models that balance growth aspirations with financial stability, ensuring they can adapt to unexpected changes while maintaining a solid financial foundation.

McConnell (2022) further underscores the importance of strategic positioning in financial risk management, particularly in sectors like fintech, where regulatory changes and market fluctuations can pose significant threats. He suggests that startups should adopt proactive financial planning, integrating risk management measures that allow them to respond swiftly to financial disruptions. Similarly, Júnior et al. (2023) identify 14 distinct investment risks faced by startups, categorized into external, internal, human, and capital dimensions. Understanding these risk factors is crucial for developing comprehensive risk management strategies that align with the specific needs of startups.

Traditional risk management approaches often do not yield significant benefits for startups, as evidenced by research from Saura et al. (2021). Unlike established businesses with predictable revenue streams, startups operate in highly dynamic environments where risk factors constantly evolve. As a result, a one-size-fits-all approach to financial risk management is inadequate. Instead, startups should focus on adaptive risk management models that incorporate real-time market insights and data-driven decision-making.

Bielialov (2022) emphasizes the importance of continuous assessment of economic efficiency at every stage of innovation. Startups must regularly evaluate their financial positions to optimize decision-making and ensure long-term sustainability. This is particularly relevant in today's rapidly evolving market landscape, where agility and responsiveness are critical for success (Khaustov, 2022). Yudaruddin et al. (2024) propose a contingency-based approach to financial risk management, incorporating management accounting tools that help startups navigate complex financial environments effectively.

Another crucial aspect of financial risk management in startups is financial literacy among young entrepreneurs. Research by Muttaqiin (2018) highlights that early exposure to financial management principles significantly bolsters long-term financial stability and entrepreneurial success. Many startups fail because their founders lack the necessary financial expertise to make informed decisions. By equipping entrepreneurs with the right financial knowledge, they can develop sustainable business models, make strategic investment decisions, and mitigate financial risks more effectively (Sipayung et al., 2022).

Despite extensive research on financial risk management in startups, a significant gap remains between empirical findings and practical applications. While studies by Venczel et al. (2024) and McConnell (2022) provide foundational insights

into the financial challenges faced by startups, their macro-level perspectives often overlook the nuanced realities of different startup environments. Similarly, while Bethlendi et al. (2024) and Júnior et al. (2023) categorize various investment risks, they do not delve deeply into the practical application of risk mitigation strategies in resource-constrained startups.

Moreover, Yudaruddin et al. (2024) highlight the limitations of existing management accounting tools in startup settings, suggesting the need for more innovative approaches tailored to the startup ecosystem. Ewens et al. (2024) further stress the need for a holistic theoretical approach that integrates sector-specific insights into financial risk management frameworks for startups.

Given the complexity and multi-faceted nature of financial risk management in startups, there is an urgent need for tailored, adaptive strategies that address their unique challenges. This study aims to fill this gap by adopting a qualitative approach using the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method. Unlike previous studies that rely on quantitative analysis or focus on large corporations, this research provides a deeper, more contextual understanding of how startups in various sectors manage financial risks. By systematically analyzing existing studies, this research will identify common patterns, successful strategies, and best practices that can be applied across different startup contexts.

Based on the research gaps identified, the study seeks to answer the following key questions:

1. How do startups across different sectors and regions implement financial risk management strategies amid market uncertainty and capital constraints?
2. What common patterns and best practices can be identified from existing literature, and how can these be adapted to the specific characteristics of startups?

The primary objective of this study is to develop an adaptive and flexible financial risk management framework that is not only theoretically robust but also practically applicable. By expanding the existing knowledge base and providing actionable insights, this research aims to equip startup founders, investors, and policymakers with the tools needed to enhance financial stability and sustainability in the startup ecosystem. Through a structured evidence-based approach, this study will make significant contributions to both academic research and practical financial risk management strategies for startups.

2. Literature Review

Startups operate in a unique financial environment characterized by high uncertainty, limited capital, rapid innovation cycles, and dependency on external funding. These factors create distinct financial risks that necessitate specialized financial risk management (FRM) approaches. This section reviews the critical

aspects influencing financial risk in startups, emphasizing the importance of flexibility and adaptability in risk management, the interplay between capital structure and corporate governance, the role of financial literacy, and the integration of technology in FRM.

2.1. Financial Risks and the Need for Adaptive Risk Management

Startups face significant financial risks due to their limited capital and unstable cash flows, which can lead to bankruptcy if they fail to secure adequate funding (Suci et al., 2023). Unlike larger firms with established revenue streams, startups must rely on alternative financial strategies such as bootstrapping to sustain operations (Horváth, 2018). The necessity for rapid product development further exacerbates financial risks, as substantial short-term investments are required without guarantees of market success (Falih, 2020). A responsive FRM strategy that prioritizes financial flexibility and market responsiveness is crucial for startup survival (Bethlendi & Urbanics, 2018; Prakoso & Apriliani, 2024).

The dependency on external funding sources such as venture capital and angel investments introduces another layer of financial risk. Investor confidence and market fluctuations directly influence funding availability, making startups vulnerable to financial instability (Mashayekhi et al., 2024). When investors withdraw or funding opportunities shrink, startups with a high reliance on external capital face operational risks (Savin et al., 2022). Therefore, adopting alternative financing methods, including crowdfunding and strategic partnerships, can mitigate the adverse effects of financial dependency (Elgammal et al., 2023).

Market dynamics and regulatory changes further complicate financial risk management. Startups operating in highly regulated industries are particularly susceptible to shifting policies that may impact operational costs and market access (Ratzinger et al., 2017). Unlike established corporations that have legal and financial resources to navigate regulatory complexities, startups must employ agile FRM strategies to remain compliant while maintaining financial stability (Narayan et al., 2019).

2.2. Capital Structure, Corporate Governance, and Financial Risk Management

A robust capital structure plays a fundamental role in startup sustainability. Startups typically rely on a mix of venture capital, private investments, and equity financing to sustain growth (Limar, 2023). Venture capital not only provides financial backing but also strategic guidance and networking opportunities essential for scaling operations (Singh & Subrahmanya, 2021). Diversified funding sources enhance financial stability, allowing startups to better manage risks and maintain liquidity (Schachel et al., 2021). Research suggests that startups with well-diversified capital structures exhibit higher resilience to market fluctuations and economic downturns (Lao, 2024).

Corporate governance is equally critical in managing financial risks. Effective governance structures, including transparent decision-making processes and robust oversight mechanisms, help startups anticipate and respond to risks proactively (Petković et al., 2023). The presence of an experienced board of directors and risk management committees ensures that strategic decisions align with long-term financial sustainability (Savin et al., 2022). Startups with strong governance frameworks are more likely to attract investor confidence and secure funding, reinforcing their financial resilience (Ochoki et al., 2023).

However, startups often struggle with weak governance structures due to their early-stage nature. Many lack formalized risk management processes, making them vulnerable to financial instability (Ding, 2021). A balance between strong governance and a well-structured capital framework is crucial for mitigating financial risks effectively (Skala, 2022). Research suggests that startups should actively invest in governance development, including establishing clear financial policies and integrating risk management frameworks tailored to their operational needs (Satyanarayana et al., 2023).

2.3. Financial Literacy and Managerial Competency in Risk Management

Financial literacy is a crucial determinant of a startup's ability to manage risks effectively. Managers with a strong understanding of financial principles can make informed decisions regarding cash flow management, investment strategies, and capital allocation (Zaidi et al., 2021). Studies indicate that financially literate entrepreneurs are better equipped to develop sustainable business strategies, reducing failure rates among startups (Karanović et al., 2019).

However, financial literacy alone is insufficient without strong managerial skills. Startup leaders must possess strategic decision-making, leadership, and risk assessment capabilities to navigate business uncertainties effectively (Zaidi et al., 2021). Research highlights that startups led by managers with a combination of financial knowledge and leadership skills tend to outperform those with expertise in only one domain (Schmidt & Heidenreich, 2018). Managerial competency enhances startups' ability to anticipate risks and implement proactive mitigation strategies (Grimpe et al., 2019).

Training programs that integrate financial education with managerial skill development have proven effective in equipping startup leaders with the necessary tools to manage financial risks (Galvão et al., 2020). Business simulations and case studies provide real-world applications of FRM theories, enabling managers to make data-driven financial decisions. Continuous education and upskilling initiatives are essential for startup managers to stay abreast of evolving financial technologies and risk management tools (Zaidi et al., 2021).

2.4. Technology-Driven Financial Risk Management

The integration of technology in financial risk management presents significant opportunities for startups. Advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), big data, and machine learning enable startups to conduct real-time risk assessments and predictive analysis (Shen, 2024; Zhao, 2022). AI-driven models can process vast datasets to identify potential financial risks, allowing startups to take preemptive measures before issues escalate.

Despite the advantages, the adoption of technology in FRM presents several challenges. Many startups face financial constraints that limit their access to advanced technological solutions (Gunanto, 2023). The high costs of AI-driven risk assessment tools and cloud-based financial analytics often exceed the budgets of early-stage startups. Additionally, a lack of technical expertise among startup employees hinders the effective implementation of these technologies (Liu & Hong, 2021).

A phased approach to technology adoption can help startups overcome these challenges. Implementing cost-effective risk management software and gradually integrating more sophisticated tools as financial capabilities improve can enhance risk mitigation strategies (Rauf et al., 2024). Investing in employee training and technology literacy programs is also crucial to ensure startups can fully leverage technological advancements in financial risk management (Jung et al., 2022).

Technology not only enhances risk mitigation but also serves as a strategic asset for business growth. Startups that effectively integrate technology into their FRM processes demonstrate greater adaptability to market changes and better financial performance (Ren, 2022). As digital transformation continues to reshape the financial landscape, the ability to harness technology-driven risk management solutions will be a key differentiator for startup success.

3. Methods

This study uses a qualitative approach with the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method. The SLR design allows for a comprehensive analysis of existing literature related to the application of financial risk management (FRM) in startups, especially in seeing the opportunities and challenges presented by technology. The purpose of this study is to identify, analyze, and synthesize research findings from various sources to provide an in-depth understanding of the topic. The qualitative approach used emphasizes a thorough examination of theoretical frameworks, practices, and case studies relevant to the research questions. The subjects of this study include peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and book chapters published between 2016 and 2024 that discuss financial risk management, technology adoption, and managerial skills development in startups. The selected literature includes studies from both global and Indonesian contexts to provide a balanced perspective. Inclusion criteria focus on empirical research and reviews that specifically address the role of technology in optimizing FRM in the startup environment.

Data collection was conducted through a comprehensive search in academic databases such as Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, JSTOR, and Wiley Online Library. Keywords used included "financial risk management in startups," "technology adoption," and "managerial skills development" to identify relevant literature. This search was complemented by forward and backward citation tracking to ensure a comprehensive collection of sources. A coding framework instrument was developed to categorize and extract important information, such as research objectives, methods, findings, and conclusions. The data analysis process used thematic analysis, where the collected data were categorized into key themes, such as technology integration, challenges in implementation, and its impact on financial stability. This analysis aimed to identify patterns, similarities, and gaps in the literature. The findings were then synthesized to draw conclusions that provide recommendations and best practices for startups in implementing technology-based MRK strategies. This approach ensured that the analysis remained consistent with the objectives of the qualitative SLR, providing a rigorous and structured examination of the subject.

4. Results and Discussion

This research explores how financial risk management (FRM) is applied across different startup sectors, highlighting unique strategies shaped by industry-specific challenges. In the technology sector, startups operate in a fast-moving landscape with high market volatility and rapid technological changes. To navigate these risks, many rely on advanced technologies like real-time data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI). These tools enable them to monitor market shifts quickly, optimize capital use, and enhance resilience in uncertain environments (Nefaie et al., 2023; Vekić et al., 2023).

In contrast, manufacturing startups face significant risks related to supply chain disruptions and fluctuating raw material costs. To mitigate these issues, they often secure long-term contracts with suppliers and use technology to streamline inventory management. Such strategies help ensure stability by reducing the impact of price fluctuations and supply chain interruptions (Holloway, 2024; Lao, 2024). Meanwhile, in the retail sector, startups must manage unpredictable consumer demand. Many use AI-driven predictive analytics to track market trends and adjust inventory accordingly. The services sector, on the other hand, deals with frequently changing regulations. Here, FRM efforts focus on regulatory compliance and cost adjustments to maintain business sustainability (Triono et al., 2024; Odello, 2024).

Across industries, certain financial risk management patterns emerge. One major trend is the emphasis on liquidity management. Startups prioritize maintaining stable cash flows to navigate uncertain market conditions. This financial stability allows them to sustain operations despite economic fluctuations. Many leverage fintech solutions like cash management software and predictive analytics to monitor cash flow in real time and detect potential issues early (Yue et al., 2021; Patel

et al., 2024). Another key practice is capital diversification. Rather than relying on a single funding source, startups seek multiple avenues such as venture capital, angel investors, and bank loans. This diversified approach provides financial flexibility and safeguards against funding instability, especially in unpredictable economic climates (Oguanobi & Joel, 2024; Zahra, 2021; Thanh et al., 2021; Bolat et al., 2021).

Technology integration plays an increasingly vital role in startup risk management. Digital tools and data-driven platforms allow startups to assess risks more effectively and make informed decisions. AI and big data analytics, in particular, help them gather and interpret market data in real time, enabling rapid responses to economic shifts and regulatory changes. These technologies offer startups a competitive edge by enhancing their ability to anticipate risks and implement precise mitigation strategies (Duan et al., 2019; Nnaji et al., 2024). For instance, predictive analytics help startups forecast market trends and adjust their financial strategies proactively, improving overall resilience (Yue et al., 2021; Allahham et al., 2024).

Regional differences also shape financial risk management approaches. In developing countries, limited access to capital and technology forces startups to be resourceful. Many form partnerships with local financial institutions or adopt cost-effective technologies to strengthen their risk management strategies (Cantamessa et al., 2018; Lao, 2024). Meanwhile, startups in developed countries benefit from advanced financial infrastructure, regulatory support, and easier access to technology. These advantages allow them to implement more comprehensive risk management systems and leverage government-backed initiatives for financial stability (Appelbaum et al., 2018).

Despite the benefits of structured FRM, startups still face challenges in its implementation. A major hurdle is limited financial resources, which can make it difficult to invest in sophisticated risk management technologies. Additionally, many startup founders lack the financial expertise needed to fully utilize these tools (Ding, 2021). Some struggle with operating analytical software for real-time risk monitoring, making it necessary to explore simpler, cost-effective solutions and invest in financial training programs (Harlow, 2018). To address these gaps, this research proposes a flexible risk management framework tailored to startups' evolving needs. Initially, startups can use basic financial tools before gradually adopting more advanced systems as they scale (Lao, 2024; Bastian et al., 2024). The framework also emphasizes diversifying funding sources and strengthening financial acumen among startup leaders to build long-term resilience.

By examining different approaches across industries and regions, this study highlights the importance of adaptive and technology-driven financial risk management for startups. Regardless of sector or location, startups that proactively manage liquidity, diversify funding, and embrace technology are better equipped to withstand financial uncertainties and drive sustainable growth.

Startups across different sectors face unique financial risks, requiring tailored strategies to ensure stability and growth. This research explores financial risk management (FRM) approaches in technology, manufacturing, retail, and service startups, highlighting key trends, challenges, and best practices.

Technology startups operate in fast-paced, highly volatile markets. Rapid advancements and shifting consumer demands create significant financial risks, making real-time risk monitoring a necessity. Many startups rely on artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics to track market trends and identify potential risks. These technologies help businesses make data-driven decisions, optimizing capital allocation and reducing financial uncertainty. However, adopting such technologies requires substantial investment, which can be a barrier for smaller or newly established startups (Sari, 2024; Setty et al., 2024).

For manufacturing startups, the most pressing financial risks stem from supply chain disruptions and fluctuating raw material prices. To manage these risks, startups often establish long-term contracts with suppliers, ensuring price stability and a consistent supply of materials. This approach helps in minimizing disruptions and maintaining steady operations. However, while these agreements offer financial predictability, they can also limit a startup's flexibility in responding to market shifts. If demand changes unexpectedly, being locked into long-term contracts may present challenges (Jia & Stan, 2021; Chen et al., 2023).

Retail startups deal with unpredictable consumer demand, making inventory management a critical component of FRM. Many leverage AI-driven predictive analytics to forecast purchasing patterns, optimizing stock levels to avoid overstocking or running out of popular items. While this technology improves efficiency and reduces waste, it also requires significant investment, which can be challenging for startups with limited financial resources (Zhao, 2024; Szabó-Szentgróti et al., 2023).

In the services sector, regulatory compliance is a major financial risk. Government policies frequently change, affecting operational costs and business sustainability. Startups must balance regulatory adherence with cost-effective operations to remain competitive. While compliance ensures business stability, unexpected policy changes can introduce financial strain. Startups that proactively monitor legal trends and build adaptable financial models tend to navigate these risks more effectively (Kothalawala & Umayangana, 2024; Jeong et al., 2021).

Despite sector-specific risks, several overarching FRM strategies emerge across different industries. Technology plays an increasingly crucial role in FRM across all startup sectors. AI, big data analytics, and automation enhance risk detection and decision-making processes, enabling startups to respond swiftly to market changes. However, while these tools improve risk management efficiency, their high cost presents challenges, particularly for early-stage startups. Financial planning must account for the gradual adoption of such technologies to avoid overburdening limited resources (Thottoli et al., 2024; Zhuo et al., 2024).

Many startups mitigate financial risk by diversifying their funding sources. Relying solely on one type of financing, such as venture capital or bank loans, can be risky in uncertain economic conditions. Instead, startups increasingly combine multiple funding avenues, including angel investors, crowdfunding, and strategic partnerships. This approach enhances financial flexibility and resilience, reducing dependency on a single funding stream (Huang et al., 2023; Xing et al., 2021).

Economic and regulatory environments significantly influence how startups implement FRM. In developing countries, limited access to capital and advanced technology forces startups to adopt cost-effective risk management solutions, such as forming partnerships with local financial institutions. In contrast, startups in developed nations benefit from strong regulatory support and better access to technological resources, allowing for more sophisticated and integrated FRM strategies. This contrast highlights the need for flexible, region-specific risk management approaches (Duho & Onumah, 2019; Appelbaum et al., 2018).

The findings of this study align with established risk management theories, emphasizing flexibility and adaptation as essential components of effective FRM. Kotler et al. highlight the significance of adaptability in dynamic business environments, particularly for startups that operate under high uncertainty. Rapid market shifts require startups to continuously refine their risk management strategies, ensuring resilience against unforeseen challenges (Cerrato et al., 2022).

Literature on risk management underscores technology as a transformative tool in FRM. Studies suggest that startups utilizing AI, predictive analytics, and automation tend to perform better in risk assessment and mitigation. However, financial constraints often limit access to such tools, especially in emerging markets. Addressing this issue requires startups to explore scalable technology adoption strategies, beginning with cost-effective solutions and gradually integrating more advanced systems as financial capacity grows (Gidron et al., 2023; Choi et al., 2020).

Research supports the idea that startups with diversified funding sources exhibit greater resilience during economic downturns. Access to multiple capital streams enables businesses to maintain financial stability even when one source is disrupted. This aligns with Rahman's findings that suggest diversified funding structures contribute to long-term business sustainability (Botes, 2017; Wong, 2021).

Despite the effectiveness of these FRM strategies, startups continue to face significant barriers. Limited financial resources remain a primary challenge, particularly for those looking to adopt advanced risk management technologies. Additionally, many startup founders lack expertise in financial risk assessment, making it difficult to fully leverage available tools. To address these challenges, a combination of skill development programs, accessible financial technologies, and regulatory support is necessary (Murphy-Braynen, 2019; Ghanimi et al., 2024).

Startup managers can enhance their financial risk management (FRM) strategies by adopting a structured approach that includes gradual technology adoption, diversified funding sources, skill development, and regulatory awareness.

Implementing risk management technologies in phases allows startups to begin with cost-effective solutions and scale up as their financial capacity grows, reducing financial strain while still leveraging technology for risk mitigation (Schnurbein & Fritz, 2017). Additionally, diversifying funding sources is crucial for financial stability, as relying on multiple capital streams—such as venture capital, angel investors, and strategic partnerships—minimizes the risk of operational disruptions caused by funding shortages (Nyarikini et al., 2023). Equally important is financial and managerial skill development, as startup founders and managers should prioritize financial literacy training and risk management education. A strong understanding of financial risks and mitigation strategies enhances overall business resilience and decision-making (Nguyen et al., 2016). Furthermore, staying informed about regulatory changes and ensuring proactive compliance can prevent financial penalties and legal complications, contributing to long-term business sustainability (Amzil et al., 2024). By integrating these practices, startups can build a robust foundation for navigating financial uncertainties and ensuring long-term success.

Governments and financial institutions can support startup sustainability by creating a favorable regulatory environment and investing in technological infrastructure. Policies that provide easier access to funding, promote financial education, and support technological adoption can significantly improve startup resilience, fostering long-term economic growth (Nguyen et al., 2016). Effective financial risk management is essential for startups navigating uncertain market conditions. While sector-specific challenges require tailored strategies, common FRM practices, such as leveraging technology, diversifying funding sources, and ensuring regulatory compliance, contribute to long-term sustainability. By adopting flexible and scalable risk management approaches, startups can enhance their resilience and thrive in competitive environments.

5. Conclusion

This study concludes that effective financial risk management (FRM) is essential for startups to ensure financial stability and sustainability amidst dynamic and uncertain business environments. Each sector—technology, manufacturing, retail, and services—faces different financial risks that require tailored strategies. In the technology sector, the use of artificial intelligence (AI) and real-time data analytics is key to managing market volatility. Manufacturing startups focus on supply chain stability through long-term contracts and inventory management technologies. Retail startups rely on predictive analytics to manage consumer demand, while service sector startups emphasize compliance with regulatory changes.

Across all sectors, several key FRM practices stand out. These include prioritizing liquidity management, diversifying funding sources, and leveraging digital technologies such as AI and big data analytics. However, challenges remain, especially for startups in developing countries, where limited access to capital and

technology requires adaptive and cost-effective solutions. In addition, many startup founders lack financial literacy and risk management skills, highlighting the need for training and capacity building.

To overcome these barriers, this study recommends a flexible FRM framework that allows startups to gradually adopt advanced risk management technologies in line with their financial growth. Diversifying funding sources and improving financial literacy are also important to build resilience and support long-term success. Government support and regulatory institutions also play a crucial role in creating a supportive ecosystem through access to funding, financial education, and technological infrastructure development. By integrating adaptive and technology-driven FRM practices, startups can strengthen their ability to face financial uncertainties and thrive in competitive markets.

References

Allahham, M., Sharabati, A., & Sabra, S. (2024). Supply chain risks in the age of big data and artificial intelligence: The role of risk alert tools and managerial apprehensions. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 12*(1), 399-406.

Amzil, M., Bari, A., Bihi, A., Adrdour, M., & Asllam, L. (2024). Attractiveness of foreign direct investment and structural transformation of territories: Comparative study between North African countries and the world's emerging countries. *International Journal of Social Sciences Perspectives, 14*(2), 12-43.

Appelbaum, S., Profka, E., Depta, A., & Petrynski, B. (2018). Impact of business model change on organizational success. *Industrial and Commercial Training, 50*(2), 41-54.

Bastian, E., Piliang, A., & Meutia, M. (2024). Effect of learning culture and management control system on innovation performance: Evidence from startup companies in Indonesia. *Problems and Perspectives in Management, 22*(3), 251-262.

Bethlendi, A., & Urbanics, R. (2018). Critical financial and accounting issues of early-stage innovative enterprises. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 15*(4), 144-157.

Bethlendi, A., Hegedűs, S., & Szőcs, Á. (2024). Why do startups fail?

Bielialov, T. (2022). Risk management of startups of innovative products. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15*(5), 202.

Bolat, B., Yaşlı, F., & Temur, G. (2021). Risk analysis for the tech startup projects with fuzzy logic. *671-679*.

Botes, V. (2017). An inside look at the process of diversity in a South African accounting department in recent decades. *Accounting History, 23*(1-2), 151-171.

Cantamessa, M., Gatteschi, V., Perboli, G., & Rosano, M. (2018). Startups' roads to failure. *Sustainability, 10*(7), 2346.

Cerrato, D., Rocca, M., & Alessandri, T. (2022). When does unrelated diversification increase performance? The effects of financial context and contingencies. *Management Decision, 61*(13), 1-25.

Chen, C., Liang, J., Yang, S., & Zhu, J. (2023). The bullwhip effect, demand uncertainty, and cost structure. *Contemporary Accounting Research, 41*(1), 195-225.

Choi, D., Sung, C., & Park, J. (2020). How does technology startups increase innovative performance? The study of technology startups on innovation focusing on employment change in Korea. *Sustainability, 12*(2), 551.

Ding, Q. (2021). Risk early warning management and intelligent real-time system of financial enterprises based on fuzzy theory. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, 40*(4), 6017-6027.

Duan, Y., Edwards, J., & Dwivedi, Y. (2019). Artificial intelligence for decision making in the era of big data – evolution, challenges and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management, 48*, 63-71.

Duho, K., & Onumah, J. (2019). Bank diversification strategy and intellectual capital in Ghana: An empirical analysis. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research, 4*(2), 246-259.

Elgammal, M. M., Al Bakri, A. A., & AlJanahi, A. Y. (2023). The Effect of New and Traditional Sources of Financing on the Performance of Small and Entrepreneurship Businesses: The Case of Qatar. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, *11*(2), 2183667.

Ewens, M., Nanda, R., & Stanton, C. (2024). Founder-CEO compensation and selection into venture capital-backed entrepreneurship. *The Journal of Finance, 79*(5), 3361-3405.

Falih, A. (2020). A comparative analysis of start-up entrepreneurship support between the UK and Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Innovation, 7*(2), 1.

Galvão, A., Marques, C., & Ferreira, J. J. (2020). The role of entrepreneurship education and training programmes in advancing entrepreneurial skills and new ventures. *European Journal of Training and Development, 44*(6/7), 595-614.

Ghanimi, H., Painoli, G., Contractor, D., Gummadi, S., A, V., & Poon, K. (2024). Analyzing the impact of scientific and technological innovations on financial performance: A PCA-based study of an AI healthcare startup. *Journal of Machine and Computing, 1117*-1125.

Gidron, B., Bar, K., Finger, M., Gafni, D., Hodara, Y., Krasnopolskaya, I., & Mannor, A. (2023). The impact tech startup: Initial findings on a new, SDG-focused organizational category. *Sustainability, 15*(16), 12419.

Grimpe, C., Murmann, M., & Sofka, W. (2019). Organizational design choices of high-tech startups: How middle management drives innovation performance. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 13*(3), 359-378.

Gunanto, A. (2023). Mitigating financial distress: Analysis of financial indicators for startup companies in Indonesia. *Ceccar Business Review, 4*(10), 49-59.

Harlow, H. (2018). Developing a knowledge management strategy for data analytics and intellectual capital. *Meditari Accountancy Research, 26*(3), 400-419.

Holloway, S. (2024). Supply chain and marketing alignment in launching new products: Lessons from high-tech startups. *Preprints*.

Horváth, K. (2018). Financial bootstrapping techniques: a systematic review of the literature. *On research, 1*, 84-94.

Huang, H., Li, W., Li, S., & Xu, H. (2023). Information sharing in the presence of retailer's risk aversion and altruism. *International Transactions in Operational Research, 32*(1), 406-437.

Idris, M. (2024). Strategic financial management in entrepreneurial ventures: A comprehensive qualitative review of financial practices and their impact on startup growth and stability. *Atestasi Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi, 7*(2), 742-761.

Jeong, H., Bhatt, S., Ye, H., Zhang, J., & Suri, R. (2021). When and whom should retailers offer price reductions in times of crisis? *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 50*(6), 744-759.

Jia, P., & Stan, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence factory, data risk, and VCs' mediation: The case of ByteDance, an AI-powered startup. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 14*(5), 203.

Jung, K., Kim, D., & Yu, S. (2022). Next-generation models for portfolio risk management: An approach using financial big data. *Journal of Risk & Insurance, 89*(3), 765-787.

Júnior, C., Siluk, J., Neuenfeldt-Júnior, A., Francescatto, M., & Michelin, C. (2023). Mapping risks faced by startup investors: An approach based on the Apriori algorithm. *Risks, 11*(10), 177.

Karanović, B., Nikolić, G., & Karanović, G. (2019). Examining financial management practices in the context of smart ICT use: Recent evidence from Croatian entrepreneurs. *Zagreb International Review of Economics and Business, 22*(S1), 107-123.

Khaustov, M. (2022). Development of startups in the energy sector: The world experience and opportunities for Ukraine. *The Problems of Economy, 4*(54), 13-24.

Kothalawala, C., & Umayangana, S. (2024). The impact of impulsive purchasing behavior on consumer actual consumption during an economic crisis: Evidence from essential goods in the retail industry Sri Lanka. *SLIIT Business Review, 3*(1), 43-64.

Lao, J. (2024). Practice and case analysis of risk management in Silicon Valley "garage" entrepreneurship. *TEBMR, 8*, 305-310.

Limar, V. (2023). Navigating the startup landscape: The social impact of venture capital. *Futurity of Social Sciences, 1*(4), 91-101.

Liu, W., & Hong, Y. (2021). Research on the challenge of computer artificial intelligence technology to financial risk management. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1992*(2), 022026.

Mashayekhi, M., Abili, K., & Hosseini, S. (2024). Identifying the obstacles and challenges of launching startups in the education industry. *IJIMOB, 4*(2), 12-21.

McConnell, P. (2022). The strategic risks facing start-ups in the financial sector. *Journal of Risk Management in Financial Institutions, 15*(2), 114-141.

Murphy-Braynen, M. (2019). How does export diversification impact economic growth? *Muma Business Review, 3*, 041-054.

Muttaqin, R. (2018). Pertumbuhan ekonomi dalam perspektif Islam. *Ekonomika, 213*, 219.

Narayan, M., Mohanty, B., & Kumar, M. (2019). Growth pattern and trends in startup funding in India. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 8*(12), 3721-3424.

Nefaie, M., Muthaly, S., & Khan, S. (2023). Digital transformation strategies for the sustainable growth of startups in Australia. *CARMA 2023*, 27-34.

Nguyen, T., Nghiem, S., & Roca, E. (2016). Management behaviour in Vietnamese commercial banks. *Australian Economic Papers, 55*(4), 345-367.

Nnaji, U., Benjamin, L., Eyo-Udo, N., & Etukudoh, E. (2024). Advanced risk management models for supply chain finance. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22*(2), 612-618.

Nyarikini, C., Mule, R., & Ombongi, P. (2023). Effect of capital expenditure on idiosyncratic volatility of stock returns at the NSE in Kenya. *The International Journal of Business & Management.*

Ochoki, S., Oloo, H., Mwabaka, P., & Kamau, C. (2023). Effects of cost of capital on firm performance in Kenya. *East African Finance Journal, 1*(1), 17-24.

Odello, J. (2024). Relationship between market orientation and innovation performance in technology startups in Kenya. *International Journal of Business Strategies, 9*(1), 75-86.

Oguanobi, V., & Joel, O. (2024). Scalable business models for startups in renewable energy: Strategies for using GIS technology to enhance SME scaling. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(5), 1571-1587.

Patel, J., Kumar, L., Kumar, M., & Sarkar, D. (2024). Role of new technology and automation of business operations on efficiency of startup entrepreneurs in India: An empirical study.

Petković, M., Jambal, E., Mesa, J., & Emdadi, F. (2023). The odyssey of strategic investing in artificial intelligence (AI) startups. *FINIZ 2023*, 131-136.

Prakoso, T., & Apriliani, R. (2024). Strategi Manajemen Keuangan untuk Bisnis Startup. *Bulletin of Community Engagement*, *4*(2), 514-524.

Ratzinger, D., Amess, K., Greenman, A., & Mosey, S. (2017). The impact of digital startup founders' higher education on reaching equity investment milestones. *The Journal of Technology Transfer, 43*(3), 760-778.

Rauf, M., Shorna, S., Joy, Z., & Joy, M. (2024). Data-driven transformation: Optimizing enterprise financial management and decision-making with big data. *AJBAIS, 4*(2), 94-106.

Ren, S. (2022). Optimization of enterprise financial management and decision-making systems based on big data. *Journal of Mathematics, 2022*(1).

Sari, Y. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence (AI) in financial risk management. *Formosa Journal of Sustainable Research, 3*(9), 2073-2082.

Satyanarayana, K., Surya, K., & Rao, A. (2023). Mergers and acquisitions in banking: A study on financial performance and capital structure changes. *Journal of Advanced Zoology, 44*(3), 1346-1354.

Saura, J., Reyes-Menéndez, A., Matos, N., & Correia, M. (2021). Identifying startup business opportunities from UGC on Twitter chatting: An exploratory analysis. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 16*(6), 1929-1944.

Savin, I., Chukavina, K., & Pushkarev, A. (2022). Topic-based classification and identification of global trends for startup companies. *Small Business Economics, 60*(2), 659-689.

Schachel, H., Lachmann, M., Endenich, C., & Breucker, O. (2021). The importance of management control systems for startup funding – Empirical evidence from external financiers. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change, 17*(5), 660-685.

Schmidt, J., & Heidenreich, S. (2018). The role of human capital for entrepreneurial decision-making-investigating experience, skills and knowledge as antecedents to effectuation and causation. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing, 10*(3), 287-311.

Schnurbein, G., & Fritz, T. (2017). Benefits and drivers of nonprofit revenue concentration. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 46*(5), 922-943.

Setty, R., Elovici, Y., & Schwartz, D. (2024). Cost-sensitive machine learning to support startup investment decisions. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance & Management, 31*(1).

Shen, Z. (2024). Financial risk management based on big data technology. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences, 124*(1), 227-233.

Singh, S., & Subrahmanya, M. (2020). The financial requirements of tech startups over its lifecycle in Bangalore: An analysis of why and how do they differ? *International Journal of Finance & Economics, 27*(4), 4123-4141.

Singh, S., & Subrahmanya, M. (2021). Sources of finance for tech startups over its lifecycle: What determines their approach of sources and its success? *International Journal of Emerging Markets, 18*(8), 1766-1787.

Sipayung, F., Ginting, L., & Sibarani, M. L. (2022, February). Comparison Analysis of Start-Up Company Liquidity Before and After the Covid-19 Pandemic. In *International Conference on Economics, Management and Accounting (ICEMAC 2021)* (pp. 81-89). Atlantis Press.

Skala, A. (2022). Innovative startups and business models for sustainable transport and mobility. *Sustainability*, 14(9), 5519.

Suci, R., Iswari, H., Purwanto, A., Sodik, S., Kirana, P., & Ainun, S. (2023). A bibliometric analysis and visualization of bootstrapping strategy articles authored by Indonesians. *Bulletin of Management and Business*, 4(2), 152-158.

Szabó-Szentgróti, E., Rámháp, S., & Kézai, P. (2023). A systematic review of cashierless stores (just walk-out stores) and their impact on retail. *Management & Marketing*, 18(s1), 427-448.

Thanh, N., Văn, Q., & Tuyết, N. (2021). Digital transformation: Challenges and opportunities for leaders in emerging countries during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Emerging Science Journal*, 5, 21-36.

Thottoli, M., Cruz, M., & Abri, S. (2024). The incubation revolution: Transforming entrepreneurial education through artificial intelligence. *Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 19(1), 2-23.

Triono, S., Rahayu, A., Wibowo, L., & Alamsyah, A. (2024). The effect of entrepreneurial strategy on the performance of Indonesian technology startups. *Jurnal Manajemen Indonesia*, 24(1), 84-104.

Van Opstal, W., & Borms, L. (2023). Startups and circular economy strategies: Profiles, barriers, and enablers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 396, 136510.

Vekić, A., Borocki, J., Đaković, V., Ralević, N., Mladenović, I., & Mladenović, S. (2023). Resilience of startup companies under crisis conditions, 354-359.

Venczel, T. B., Berényi, L., & Hriczó, K. (2024). Project and risk management challenges in startups. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, 21(2).

Wong, W. (2021). Editorial introduction. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 38(3), 525-528.

Xing, G., Xia, B., & Guo, J. (2021). Contract choice for upstream innovation in a finance-constrained supply chain. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, 29(3), 1897-1914.

Yudaruddin, R. (2024). Financial technology and market discipline in the Indonesian banking sector. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 18(2), 299-317.

Yudaruddin, R., Nugroho, B., Mardiany, M., Fitrian, Z., Hapsari, P., Fitrianto, Y., ... & Santi, E. (2024). Liquidity and credit risk in Indonesia: The role of fintech development. *Sage Open*, 14(2).

Yue, H., Liao, H., Li, D., & Chen, L. (2021). Enterprise financial risk management using information fusion and big data mining technologies. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2021(1).

Zahra, S. (2021). Resource-based view, resourcefulness, and resource management in startups: A proposed research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 47(7), 1841-1860.

Zaidi, R., Khan, M., Khan, R., & Mujtaba, B. (2021). The role of the entrepreneurship ecosystem and managerial skills in startup development. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 12(1), 25-53.

Zhao, M. (2022). Financial risk assessment based on artificial intelligence. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 151, 01017.

Zhao, Y. (2024). E-commerce demand forecasting using SARIMA model and K-means clustering analysis. *Journal of Innovation and Development*, 7(1), 1-6.

Zhou, Z. (2024). Risk assessment of banks during interest rate hikes. *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, 98(1), 131-136.

Zhuo, Z., Chen, S., Yan, H., & He, Y. (2024). A new demand function graph: Analysis of retailer-to-individual customer product supply strategies under non-essential demand patterns. *Plos One*, 19(2), e0298381.