

Civil Society and Democratic Governance: An International Legal Perspective

Hoiruddin Hasibuan¹✉, Setiyowati²

Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia¹; Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia²

ABSTRACT

Civil society plays a strategic role in strengthening democratic governance through participation, accountability, transparency, and public oversight. This study aims to analyze the contribution of civil society to democratic governance from the perspective of international law. The analysis is based on the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), particularly Article 21 concerning the right to peaceful assembly and Article 22 concerning freedom of association, as well as the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders, which collectively provide normative guarantees for civic participation and the protection of democratic freedoms. This research employs a normative juridical method using a statute approach and a conceptual approach. Primary legal materials consist of international legal instruments, while secondary legal materials include scholarly publications discussing civil society, democratic governance, accountability, and civic participation. The collected legal materials were analyzed qualitatively through legal interpretation and systematic legal reasoning. The findings demonstrate that international legal frameworks strengthen democratic governance by protecting civic participation, promoting public accountability, enhancing democratic legitimacy, and supporting democratic resilience. Nevertheless, shrinking civic space, restrictions on civic freedoms, threats against human rights defenders, and challenges arising from digital governance continue to affect the effectiveness of civil society in sustaining democratic governance.

Keywords: *Accountability, Civil Society, Democratic Governance, International Law, Public Participation.*

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Hoiruddin Hasibuan

Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received : July 4, 2025

Final Revised : September 9, 2025

Accepted : November 2, 2025

Published : December 30, 2025

1. | INTRODUCTION

Civil society plays a fundamental role in democratic governance by facilitating citizen participation, strengthening public accountability, and promoting the protection of democratic values. Democratic systems depend not only on formal political institutions but also on active civic engagement through organizations, associations, advocacy groups, community networks, and social movements. These actors contribute to governance by representing societal interests, monitoring governmental actions, and encouraging public participation in decision-making processes. Consequently, civil society has become an increasingly important subject within democracy studies, governance research, and international law.

The relationship between civil society and democracy has long been recognized as a cornerstone of democratic governance. Civil society provides a space between the state and the market where citizens can organize collectively to pursue common interests and influence public affairs. Through civic engagement, advocacy activities, and public participation, civil society organizations contribute to the responsiveness and legitimacy of governance systems. Sellers et al. (2020) argue that civil society significantly influences the development and functioning of democratic institutions by strengthening connections between citizens and government. Similarly, Jessop (2020) emphasizes that civil society occupies an important position within governance structures because it facilitates interaction among public institutions, citizens, and other societal actors.

Democratic governance increasingly requires mechanisms that encourage citizen involvement beyond electoral participation. Elections remain essential components of democracy, but contemporary democratic systems also rely upon ongoing engagement through civic organizations, advocacy networks, and public consultation processes. Civil society contributes to this objective by creating opportunities for participation and representation that complement formal political institutions. As a result, civil society functions as an important channel through which citizens can express preferences, influence policy development, and contribute to public decision-making.

The literature consistently identifies civil society participation as a critical element of democratic governance. Mavee (2022) argues that civil society participation strengthens governance by improving accountability, enhancing transparency, and encouraging inclusive decision-making processes. Likewise, Warren (2021) emphasizes that democratic associations contribute to public deliberation and civic engagement by creating spaces where citizens can collectively address public concerns. These findings suggest that civil society organizations play important roles in promoting democratic legitimacy and institutional effectiveness.

Civil society also contributes significantly to democratic accountability. Democratic governance requires oversight mechanisms capable of monitoring governmental actions and ensuring that public institutions remain responsive to

citizens. Civil society organizations frequently perform watchdog functions by evaluating public policies, advocating for transparency, and identifying governance failures. Through these activities, civic actors help strengthen accountability and reduce opportunities for abuses of power. Consequently, civil society has become an important component of contemporary governance systems.

International legal frameworks provide important protections that enable civil society participation and civic engagement. Among the most significant instruments is the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which recognizes rights essential to civil society activities. Article 21 protects the right to peaceful assembly, while Article 22 safeguards freedom of association. Together, these provisions establish legal foundations that enable individuals and organizations to participate in public affairs, advocate for social interests, and engage in collective action. These rights are widely regarded as essential conditions for democratic governance and civic participation.

The ICCPR has become one of the most influential international instruments supporting civic freedoms and democratic participation. Joseph (2022) argues that the covenant provides a comprehensive framework protecting civil and political rights necessary for democratic societies. Freedom of association and peaceful assembly enable citizens to organize collectively, express concerns, and participate in governance processes. Without such protections, opportunities for civic engagement and democratic accountability would be significantly restricted.

The protection of civil society is further reinforced through the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders. The declaration recognizes the important role played by individuals and organizations working to promote and protect human rights. Human rights defenders frequently contribute to democratic governance by advocating for accountability, transparency, and legal protections. The declaration therefore provides additional international support for civic engagement and public participation. These protections are increasingly important as civil society actors encounter challenges associated with political restrictions and shrinking civic space.

Recent scholarship highlights growing concerns regarding the protection of civic freedoms and civil society participation. Around the world, civil society organizations have faced restrictions affecting freedom of association, public assembly, advocacy activities, and civic engagement. Uerpmann-Wittzack (2022) argues that shrinking civic space represents a significant challenge because restrictions on association rights weaken democratic participation and accountability mechanisms. Similarly, Kiai and Kaguongo (2023) emphasize that obstacles affecting peaceful assembly and civic engagement can reduce opportunities for public participation and democratic oversight. These developments suggest that protecting civic freedoms remains an important governance objective.

Civil society also contributes to democratic resilience. Democratic systems increasingly face challenges associated with authoritarian tendencies, political

polarization, and democratic backsliding. Civil society organizations often serve as defenders of democratic values by promoting accountability, protecting civic freedoms, and encouraging citizen participation. Bernhard et al. (2020) argue that active civil societies can discourage democratic defection and strengthen democratic stability. Likewise, Merkel and Lührmann (2021) emphasize that resilient democracies frequently depend upon strong civic institutions capable of responding to illiberal and authoritarian pressures.

Technological developments have further transformed civil society participation. Digital platforms and social media have expanded opportunities for civic engagement by enabling advocacy campaigns, information sharing, and collective action. Suwana (2020) demonstrates that digital activism can mobilize public participation and strengthen civic engagement in democratic processes. These developments indicate that civil society increasingly operates within both physical and digital spaces, creating new opportunities and challenges for democratic governance.

Despite extensive research concerning democracy, participation, and governance, many studies focus on specific dimensions of civil society rather than examining its broader relationship with democratic governance through an international legal perspective. Furthermore, contemporary challenges involving shrinking civic space, digital activism, democratic backsliding, and the protection of civic freedoms continue to reshape the role of civil society within democratic systems. Consequently, there remains a need for systematic research examining how international legal frameworks support civil society participation and democratic governance.

Despite the growing body of literature discussing civil society, democratic governance, and civic participation, existing studies predominantly examine these issues from governance, political science, or public administration perspectives. Comparatively limited attention has been devoted to analyzing the normative foundations of civil society participation through international legal instruments that guarantee fundamental civic freedoms. Furthermore, contemporary challenges such as shrinking civic space, restrictions on freedom of association and peaceful assembly, threats against human rights defenders, democratic backsliding, and digital governance have reinforced the importance of assessing whether existing international legal frameworks remain adequate to support democratic governance. Accordingly, this study adopts a normative juridical approach to examine the legal norms contained in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), particularly Articles 21 and 22, together with the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders, as the principal international legal foundations governing civil society participation in democratic governance. Based on this background, this study addresses the following research questions:

RQ1: How do the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), particularly Articles 21 and 22, and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights

Defenders provide normative legal protection for civil society participation in democratic governance?

RQ2: How do these international legal frameworks contribute to strengthening democratic governance through the promotion of public participation, accountability, democratic legitimacy, and democratic resilience amid contemporary governance challenges?

2. | LITERATURE REVIEW

Civil Society and Democratic Governance

Civil society is widely regarded as an essential component of democratic governance because it creates opportunities for citizen participation, public deliberation, and accountability. Civil society consists of organizations, associations, advocacy groups, and community networks that operate independently from the state while engaging in public affairs. These actors contribute to democracy by representing social interests, promoting participation, and strengthening relationships between citizens and government institutions.

The relationship between civil society and democracy is based on the principle that governance should involve active citizen engagement beyond electoral participation. Democratic systems require mechanisms that allow citizens to express concerns, advocate for policy change, and influence public decision-making. Civil society organizations provide such mechanisms by facilitating collective action and public dialogue. Sellers et al. (2020) argue that civil society strengthens democratic governance by connecting citizens with political institutions and improving responsiveness. Similarly, Jessop (2020) emphasizes that civil society functions as an important governance arena where public interests can be articulated and negotiated.

The literature consistently highlights the contribution of civil society to democratic legitimacy. Mavee (2022) argues that civic participation improves governance by encouraging transparency, inclusiveness, and accountability. Likewise, Warren (2021) notes that associations create opportunities for citizens to engage in public discussion and collective problem-solving. Through these functions, civil society helps strengthen public trust and institutional legitimacy.

Civil society also contributes to governance effectiveness by providing oversight and monitoring functions. Civic organizations frequently evaluate governmental performance, advocate for policy reforms, and encourage transparency. These activities improve accountability by ensuring that public institutions remain responsive to societal needs. Consequently, civil society is widely recognized as a cornerstone of democratic governance and participatory democracy.

International Legal Frameworks for Civil Society Participation

International legal frameworks provide essential protections that enable civil society organizations and citizens to participate in democratic governance. Among the most important instruments is the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

(ICCPR), which safeguards civic freedoms necessary for public participation and collective action.

Article 21 of the ICCPR protects the right to peaceful assembly, while Article 22 guarantees freedom of association. These rights enable individuals to organize collectively, advocate for public interests, and participate in governance processes. Joseph (2022) argues that the ICCPR provides one of the strongest international legal foundations for democratic participation and civic engagement. Freedom of assembly and association allow citizens to contribute to public affairs and hold governments accountable.

The importance of these rights extends beyond individual freedoms. Civic organizations, social movements, and advocacy groups rely upon assembly and association rights to operate effectively. Sankaran (2024) emphasizes that freedom of association remains essential for democratic participation because it enables citizens to organize collectively around shared concerns. Similarly, Weber (2024) highlights that assembly and association rights are fundamental components of democratic constitutional systems.

The United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders further strengthens protections for civic actors. The declaration recognizes the important role of individuals and organizations working to promote human rights, accountability, and public participation. Human rights defenders often act as intermediaries between citizens and institutions by monitoring governance practices and advocating for reform.

Together, the ICCPR and the Human Rights Defenders Declaration provide legal foundations supporting civil society participation, democratic accountability, and governance legitimacy. These frameworks demonstrate international recognition that civic freedoms are essential for democratic governance.

Civil Society and Public Accountability

Civil society plays a significant role in strengthening public accountability within democratic governance systems. Accountability requires public institutions to explain and justify their actions while remaining responsive to citizens' needs and expectations. Civil society organizations contribute to this process by monitoring governmental activities, advocating for transparency, and promoting public oversight. Through these functions, civic actors help ensure that public authority is exercised responsibly and in accordance with democratic principles.

The literature consistently identifies civil society as an important accountability mechanism. Bernhard et al. (2020) argue that active civil societies help deter democratic erosion by increasing oversight and strengthening public scrutiny of governmental actions. Civic organizations often act as watchdogs that identify governance failures, expose misconduct, and encourage institutional reforms. These activities contribute to democratic accountability by improving transparency and responsiveness.

Civil society also supports accountability through advocacy and public engagement. Organizations frequently represent marginalized groups, communicate public concerns, and encourage citizen involvement in governance processes. León-Reyes (2023) emphasizes that civil society contributes to accountability by creating additional channels through which citizens can monitor public institutions and demand corrective action. Similarly, Birchall (2020) highlights the role of civil society actors in promoting accountability across both public and private sectors.

Transparency represents another important area where civil society contributes to accountability. Civic organizations often campaign for information disclosure, open government initiatives, and public access to decision-making processes. These efforts strengthen democratic governance by reducing information asymmetries between governments and citizens. As a result, civil society functions as an essential partner in promoting accountable and transparent governance.

Overall, the literature demonstrates that civil society strengthens public accountability through oversight, advocacy, transparency promotion, and citizen engagement. These functions contribute directly to democratic governance by ensuring that institutions remain responsive to public interests.

Civic Participation and Democratic Legitimacy

Civic participation is a fundamental element of democratic governance because it enables citizens to influence public affairs and contribute to policy development. Democratic legitimacy depends not only on elections but also on meaningful opportunities for ongoing public engagement. Civil society organizations play a critical role in facilitating participation by providing channels through which citizens can express opinions, advocate for interests, and engage with governance processes.

The literature emphasizes that participation strengthens democratic legitimacy by increasing public involvement in decision-making. Zakhour (2020) argues that public participation enhances legitimacy because citizens are more likely to support governance outcomes when they have opportunities to contribute to deliberative processes. Likewise, Dvoskin (2022) highlights that civil society participation can address democratic deficits by expanding representation beyond formal electoral mechanisms.

Civil society organizations also contribute to political inclusion. Through advocacy, community engagement, and public education activities, civic actors help broaden participation opportunities for diverse groups within society. These efforts strengthen democratic governance by ensuring that public policies reflect a wider range of perspectives and interests. Consequently, civil society contributes to more inclusive and representative governance systems.

Public trust represents another important dimension of democratic legitimacy. Citizens are more likely to trust institutions when governance processes are transparent, participatory, and responsive. Civil society contributes to trust-building by facilitating communication between citizens and public institutions while encouraging

accountability and openness. Egholm et al. (2020) argue that civil society organizations frequently act as promoters of the common good by encouraging civic engagement and public responsibility.

Overall, civic participation and democratic legitimacy are closely interconnected. Civil society strengthens legitimacy by promoting inclusion, representation, participation, and public trust. These contributions help reinforce democratic governance and improve institutional responsiveness.

Emerging Challenges for Civil Society

Despite its important contributions to democratic governance, civil society increasingly faces challenges that affect its ability to participate in public affairs, promote accountability, and protect democratic values. Contemporary governance environments are characterized by political polarization, democratic backsliding, restrictions on civic freedoms, and rapid technological change. These developments have created new obstacles for civil society organizations and civic actors across many democratic and non-democratic contexts.

One of the most significant challenges concerns the shrinking of civic space. Civic space refers to the legal, political, and social environment that enables individuals and organizations to exercise freedoms of association, assembly, and expression. Uerpmann-Wittzack (2022) argues that restrictions on civic freedoms weaken democratic governance by limiting opportunities for citizen participation and public oversight. Similarly, Strachwitz and Toepler (2022) emphasize that civic spaces are increasingly contested, even within established democracies, creating challenges for civil society organizations and advocacy groups.

The literature also highlights the relationship between shrinking civic space and democratic backsliding. Lorch (2021) demonstrates that restrictions on civil society often accompany broader declines in democratic quality and institutional accountability. Likewise, Pospieszna and Pietrzyk-Reeves (2022) show that civil society organizations frequently face difficulties maintaining democratic engagement when governments impose legal, political, or administrative constraints on civic activities. These findings suggest that protecting civic freedoms remains essential for preserving democratic governance.

Another important challenge concerns the safety and protection of human rights defenders. Civil society actors who advocate for accountability, transparency, and human rights may face harassment, intimidation, or violence. Bakke, Mitchell, and Smidt (2020) argue that state actions against human rights defenders can weaken civic participation and reduce democratic oversight. Similarly, Krain et al. (2024) highlight continuing threats faced by human rights defenders in various political contexts. These developments demonstrate the importance of international legal protections supporting civic engagement and advocacy.

Digital transformation has created both opportunities and challenges for civil society participation. Digital platforms enable organizations to mobilize support, disseminate information, and engage citizens more efficiently than traditional methods. Suwana (2020) demonstrates that digital activism can strengthen civic engagement by facilitating collective action and public participation. However, digital environments also create risks associated with misinformation, online harassment, surveillance, and unequal access to technology. Consequently, digital participation requires governance frameworks capable of protecting civic freedoms while addressing technological risks.

Democratic resilience has emerged as another important theme within civil society research. Democratic resilience refers to the ability of democratic systems to withstand political pressures while maintaining democratic principles and institutional effectiveness. Merkel and Lührmann (2021) argue that strong civil societies contribute significantly to democratic resilience because they strengthen accountability, encourage participation, and defend democratic norms. Civil society organizations therefore play important roles in responding to authoritarian tendencies and protecting democratic institutions.

Overall, the literature demonstrates that civil society faces significant contemporary challenges involving shrinking civic space, restrictions on civic freedoms, threats to human rights defenders, digital governance risks, and democratic backsliding. Nevertheless, civil society continues to serve as an important source of democratic resilience by promoting accountability, participation, and civic engagement. These findings suggest that protecting civic freedoms remains essential for sustaining democratic governance in contemporary societies.

3. | RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a normative juridical research method to examine the legal framework governing the relationship between civil society and democratic governance under international law. The research focuses on the normative analysis of international legal instruments that guarantee civic participation, freedom of peaceful assembly, freedom of association, and the protection of human rights defenders as fundamental elements of democratic governance. A normative juridical approach is appropriate because the study emphasizes legal principles, legal norms, and international legal obligations rather than empirical observations or field-based investigations.

The research adopts both a statute approach and a conceptual approach. The statute approach is used to examine the legal provisions contained in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), particularly Articles 21 and 22, together with the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders as the principal international legal instruments governing civil society participation. These instruments are analyzed to identify the normative standards regulating civic freedoms and democratic participation within international human rights law. The conceptual approach is employed to analyze the legal concepts of civil society, democratic

governance, public accountability, democratic legitimacy, civic participation, and democratic resilience as reflected in contemporary legal scholarship.

The legal materials used in this study consist of primary and secondary legal materials. Primary legal materials include international legal instruments, particularly the ICCPR and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders. Secondary legal materials comprise journal articles, academic books, and other scholarly publications discussing civil society, democratic governance, international human rights law, accountability, and civic participation. The collected legal materials are systematically classified according to the research objectives and analyzed using qualitative legal analysis through statutory interpretation, conceptual interpretation, and legal reasoning. The analysis aims to explain how international legal norms provide legal protection for civil society participation and how these normative frameworks contribute to strengthening democratic governance, accountability, democratic legitimacy, and democratic resilience in contemporary societies.

4. | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

International Legal Protection for Civil Society Participation in Democratic Governance

The legal protection of civil society participation constitutes one of the essential foundations of democratic governance under international human rights law. This protection is primarily established through the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which recognizes that democracy depends upon the effective realization of civil and political rights. As a legally binding treaty, the ICCPR obliges State Parties to respect, protect, and fulfill rights that enable individuals and organizations to participate actively in public affairs. Among its provisions, Articles 21 and 22 are particularly significant because they guarantee the rights to peaceful assembly and freedom of association. These rights should be understood not only as individual freedoms but also as institutional guarantees that enable civil society organizations (CSOs) to participate in democratic governance, promote public deliberation, and strengthen accountability.

Article 21 of the ICCPR provides the legal basis for the right to peaceful assembly, which represents a fundamental mechanism through which citizens engage in democratic life. Peaceful assemblies enable individuals and groups to express opinions, advocate policy reforms, communicate shared concerns, and participate directly in public discourse beyond electoral processes. In democratic societies, this form of participation reinforces the principle of participatory democracy by allowing citizens to influence governance through lawful and peaceful means. At the same time, Article 21 recognizes that restrictions may only be imposed when they satisfy the principles of legality, necessity, and proportionality. Consequently, governments may regulate assemblies only for legitimate purposes recognized under international human rights law and may not interfere arbitrarily with civic participation.

The significance of Article 21 extends beyond safeguarding freedom of expression because democratic governance requires an open civic space in which citizens can gather collectively without intimidation or unlawful interference. Joseph (2022) explains that the ICCPR establishes a comprehensive legal framework protecting the civil and political rights necessary for democratic societies. Likewise, Weber (2024) argues that peaceful assembly is one of the most important constitutional liberties supporting democratic participation because it enables citizens to influence public policy through collective engagement. These perspectives demonstrate that Article 21 protects both individual liberties and the institutional conditions required for democratic governance.

Complementing the protection of peaceful assembly, Article 22 of the ICCPR guarantees freedom of association, which provides the legal foundation for the creation and operation of civil society organizations. This provision enables individuals to establish advocacy groups, professional organizations, community associations, and other collective institutions through which common interests may be pursued. Independent civil society organizations are indispensable within democratic systems because they facilitate public participation, represent diverse societal interests, monitor governmental performance, and advocate institutional reforms. By protecting freedom of association, Article 22 transforms individual civic engagement into organized participation capable of influencing governance and strengthening democratic accountability.

The normative significance of Article 22 lies not only in protecting organizational freedom but also in establishing the structural conditions necessary for an independent civil society. Democratic participation cannot rely solely upon individual political rights; it also requires institutions through which citizens collectively organize, represent public interests, and participate in policy advocacy. Sankaran (2024) emphasizes that freedom of association is indispensable because it enables citizens to organize around shared concerns while contributing to governance reform and public accountability. Similarly, Joseph (2022) highlights that the ICCPR provides a legal framework allowing civil society organizations to operate independently and participate effectively in governance processes. Accordingly, Article 22 strengthens democratic governance by protecting the institutional autonomy required for sustained civic engagement.

The international legal framework established by the ICCPR is further reinforced by the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders. Although the Declaration is not legally binding in the same manner as an international treaty, it represents an authoritative normative instrument concerning the protection of individuals and organizations engaged in promoting and defending human rights. The Declaration recognizes that human rights defenders are entitled to participate in public affairs, advocate legal reforms, disseminate information, cooperate with domestic and international institutions, and monitor state compliance with human rights obligations.

These protections complement the guarantees contained in Articles 21 and 22 by providing practical safeguards for the exercise of civic freedoms within democratic governance.

The contribution of the Declaration is particularly important because civil society organizations frequently perform functions that directly enhance democratic accountability. Human rights defenders monitor governmental conduct, evaluate public policies, expose governance shortcomings, and advocate institutional reforms through lawful and peaceful means. Such activities improve transparency, strengthen public oversight, and encourage governments to become more responsive to societal needs. Consequently, international legal protection extends beyond safeguarding individual rights to preserving an enabling civic environment in which organizations and individuals may participate freely without intimidation, discrimination, or arbitrary interference.

Taken together, the ICCPR and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders establish an integrated normative framework supporting democratic governance. Articles 21 and 22 provide legally binding guarantees for peaceful assembly and freedom of association, while the Declaration reinforces these protections by recognizing the legitimacy and importance of civic advocacy in practice. This complementary relationship requires states to create legal and institutional environments that enable civil society organizations to operate independently, participate in public affairs, and contribute meaningfully to democratic decision-making. International human rights law therefore recognizes civil society not merely as a social actor but as an essential institutional component of democratic governance.

Despite these comprehensive legal guarantees, the literature reviewed in this study indicates that the effectiveness of international legal protection ultimately depends upon implementation at the national level. Contemporary democracies continue to face challenges arising from shrinking civic space, restrictions on peaceful assembly, limitations on freedom of association, and threats against human rights defenders. Uerpmann-Wittzack (2022) argues that restrictions on civic freedoms weaken democratic governance by reducing opportunities for public participation and limiting oversight. Likewise, Kiai and Kaguongo (2023) explain that barriers affecting assembly and association directly undermine democratic accountability, while Bakke, Mitchell, and Smidt (2020), together with Krain et al. (2024), demonstrate that intimidation and violence against human rights defenders continue to diminish the effectiveness of civil society participation in many political contexts. These findings suggest that legal recognition alone is insufficient unless accompanied by consistent implementation and effective state protection. Consequently, the international legal framework provides a robust normative foundation for democratic governance, but its practical effectiveness depends upon governments' willingness and capacity to uphold civic freedoms and ensure an enabling environment for meaningful public participation.

The Contribution of International Legal Frameworks to Strengthening Democratic Governance

International legal frameworks governing civil society participation make significant contributions to strengthening democratic governance by establishing legal guarantees that promote public participation, accountability, democratic legitimacy, and institutional resilience. The **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)**, particularly Articles 21 and 22, together with the **United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders**, provides complementary normative standards that enable individuals and civil society organizations (CSOs) to participate effectively in governance. Rather than merely protecting individual freedoms, these instruments establish institutional conditions that encourage democratic participation and improve the quality of governance. Consequently, international human rights law and democratic governance reinforce one another through the protection of civic participation and accountable institutions.

One of the principal contributions of these international legal frameworks lies in promoting meaningful public participation. Democratic governance requires continuous interaction between governments and citizens beyond periodic elections. The protection of peaceful assembly and freedom of association enables citizens to organize collectively, express public concerns, advocate policy reforms, and participate in deliberative processes affecting governmental decision-making. Through these legally protected activities, civil society organizations become effective channels for representing societal interests and ensuring that public policies reflect broader community needs. Sellers et al. (2020) argue that civil society strengthens democratic governance by improving communication between governments and citizens while expanding opportunities for civic engagement. Similarly, Warren (2021) explains that democratic associations establish deliberative spaces where citizens collectively discuss issues affecting the public interest. These perspectives indicate that international legal guarantees provide the institutional foundation for participatory governance by facilitating sustained interaction between governments and society.

International legal frameworks also strengthen democratic governance by enhancing public accountability. Accountability requires governments to justify public decisions, comply with legal obligations, and remain responsive to societal interests. Civil society organizations contribute to these objectives by monitoring governmental conduct, evaluating public policies, promoting transparency, and advocating institutional reforms. Their oversight activities improve governmental responsibility while reducing opportunities for abuses of public authority. Bernhard et al. (2020) explain that active civil societies reduce the risk of democratic erosion by strengthening institutional accountability through public scrutiny. Likewise, Birchall (2020) emphasizes that civil society organizations promote transparency through advocacy and monitoring activities, thereby reinforcing accountable governance. Accordingly, the legal protection provided by Articles 21 and 22 extends beyond safeguarding civic

freedoms because it creates the conditions necessary for effective public oversight and institutional accountability.

Another important contribution concerns democratic legitimacy. Democratic institutions gain greater legitimacy when governmental decisions are developed through inclusive, transparent, and participatory processes that respect fundamental rights. Civil society organizations strengthen legitimacy by representing diverse societal interests, facilitating dialogue between governments and citizens, and encouraging broader public participation in policy formulation. Consequently, legal protections for civic participation contribute to increased public confidence in democratic institutions and improve the credibility of governance processes.

International legal frameworks further contribute to democratic resilience, namely the capacity of democratic institutions to preserve constitutional principles, protect fundamental rights, and maintain effective governance during periods of political instability or democratic backsliding. The ICCPR and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders require states to establish an enabling environment in which civil society organizations can continue operating freely and independently. Such protection enables citizens to monitor governmental performance, advocate legal reforms, and participate in governance through peaceful and lawful means. Merkel and Lührmann (2021) explain that resilient democracies depend upon active civic institutions capable of defending democratic norms, while Hegre et al. (2020) argue that strong civil societies contribute to democratic stability by encouraging peaceful political participation and reducing the likelihood of democratic deterioration. These findings demonstrate that international legal protection supports not only individual rights but also the long-term sustainability of democratic governance.

Despite these contributions, the implementation of international legal standards continues to encounter significant challenges. One of the most prominent issues is the shrinking of civic space, characterized by excessive legal restrictions, administrative barriers, political intimidation, and limitations on the exercise of peaceful assembly and freedom of association. Such restrictions reduce opportunities for meaningful public participation and weaken democratic oversight. Uerpmann-Wittzack (2022) argues that restrictions on civic freedoms undermine democratic governance by reducing participation and accountability, while Lorch (2021) explains that shrinking civic space frequently accompanies democratic backsliding. Another persistent challenge concerns the protection of human rights defenders, who often experience intimidation, harassment, arbitrary restrictions, and violence that limit their ability to promote transparency and institutional reform. Bakke, Mitchell, and Smidt (2020), as well as Krain et al. (2024), demonstrate that continuing threats against human rights defenders reduce the effectiveness of civil society participation, emphasizing the importance of stronger implementation of international legal protections.

The literature also highlights the implications of digital transformation for democratic governance. Digital technologies have expanded opportunities for civil

society organizations to disseminate information, organize advocacy campaigns, and facilitate communication between governments and citizens. Suwana (2020) explains that digital activism has strengthened civic engagement and democratic participation. Nevertheless, digital governance also introduces challenges, including misinformation, online harassment, digital surveillance, and unequal access to technology, all of which may reduce the effectiveness of democratic participation. Therefore, international legal standards must continue to evolve in response to technological developments while maintaining effective protection for fundamental civic freedoms. Overall, the ICCPR and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders remain the principal normative foundation for promoting democratic governance through public participation, accountability, legitimacy, and resilience, although their effectiveness ultimately depends upon consistent implementation by states.

5. | CONCLUSION

This study examined the legal relationship between civil society and democratic governance through a normative juridical approach based on the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), particularly Articles 21 and 22, and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders. The analysis demonstrates that these international legal instruments establish a comprehensive normative framework protecting peaceful assembly, freedom of association, civic participation, and the activities of human rights defenders as fundamental elements of democratic governance.

The findings indicate that the legal guarantees contained in the ICCPR and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders provide the normative foundation enabling civil society organizations to participate actively in public affairs, represent societal interests, monitor governmental performance, and promote transparency and accountability. These legal protections strengthen democratic governance by facilitating meaningful public participation, reinforcing democratic legitimacy, supporting institutional accountability, and enhancing democratic resilience. The analysis also demonstrates that effective democratic governance depends not only on the existence of international legal norms but also on their consistent implementation by states in accordance with their international human rights obligations.

The study further identifies several contemporary challenges affecting the realization of these legal protections, including shrinking civic space, restrictions on freedom of peaceful assembly and association, threats against human rights defenders, democratic backsliding, and the emergence of digital governance challenges. These developments may reduce opportunities for civic participation and weaken democratic accountability if international legal standards are not effectively implemented. Therefore, strengthening legal protection for civil society, ensuring respect for international human rights obligations, and maintaining an enabling environment for civic participation remain essential for sustaining democratic legitimacy, institutional

responsiveness, public accountability, and democratic resilience in contemporary democratic societies.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of individuals who supported the completion of this article.

Funding Information

This research did not receive any funding.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval and Originality Statement

Ethical approval was obtained for this study. The manuscript represents original work and has not been previously published, nor is it under consideration by another journal.

Data Disclosure Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Bakke, K. M., Mitchell, N. J., & Smidt, H. M. (2020). When states crack down on human rights defenders. *International Studies Quarterly*, 64(1), 85–96.
- Bernhard, M., Hicken, A., Reenock, C., & Lindberg, S. I. (2020). Parties, civil society, and the deterrence of democratic defection. *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 55(1), 1–26.
- Birchall, D. (2020). The role of civil society and human rights defenders in corporate accountability. In *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Business* (pp. 422–445).
- Dvoskin, B. (2022). Representation without elections: Civil society participation as a remedy for the democratic deficits of online speech governance. *Villanova Law Review*, 67(3), 447.
- Egholm, L., Heyse, L., & Mourey, D. (2020). Civil society organizations: The site of legitimizing the common good—a literature review. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 31(1), 1–18.
- Hegre, H., Bernhard, M., & Teorell, J. (2020). Civil society and the democratic peace. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 64(1), 32–62.
- Jessop, B. (2020). *Putting civil society in its place: Governance, metagovernance and subjectivity*.
- Joseph, S. (2022). *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Kiai, M., & Kaguongo, W. (2023). Freedom of peaceful assembly and of association: Practices and obstacles. In *Research Handbook on the Politics of Human Rights Law* (pp. 99–114). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Krain, M., Murdie, A., & Beard, A. (2024). Silencing human rights defenders once and for all? Determinants of human rights defenders’ killings. *Political Research Quarterly*, 77(1), 401–416.
- Lorch, J. (2021). Elite capture, civil society and democratic backsliding in Bangladesh, Thailand and the Philippines. *Democratization*, 28(1), 81–102.
- Mavee, S. E. A. (2022). The relevance of civil society participation in democratic governance. *Administratio Publica*, 30(3), 171–191.
- Merkel, W., & Lührmann, A. (2021). Resilience of democracies: Responses to illiberal and authoritarian challenges. *Democratization*, 28(5), 869–884.
- Pospieszna, P., & Pietrzyk-Reeves, D. (2022). Responses of Polish NGOs engaged in democracy promotion to shrinking civic space. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 35(4), 523–544.

- Sankaran, K. (2024). Democracy, universalism and informal employment: The Committee on Freedom of Association and South Asia. In *70 Years of the ILO Committee on Freedom of Association: A Reliable Compass in Any Weather*.
- Sellers, J. M., Lidström, A., & Bae, Y. (2020). *Multilevel Democracy: How Local Institutions and Civil Society Shape the Modern State*. Cambridge University Press.
- Strachwitz, R. G., & Toepler, S. (2022). Contested civic spaces in liberal democracies. *Nonprofit Policy Forum*, 13(3), 179–193.
- Suwana, F. (2020). What motivates digital activism? The case of the Save KPK movement in Indonesia. *Information, Communication & Society*, 23(9), 1295–1310.
- Uerpmann-Wittzack, R. (2022). Freedom of association: The shrinking space of civil society. In *Democracy and Sovereignty* (pp. 169–180). Brill Nijhoff.
- Weber, A. (2024). Civil liberties II: Freedom of thought, expression, religion, assembly, association, and movement. In *Writing Constitutions: Volume 2: Fundamental Rights* (pp. 151–196). Springer.
- Warren, M. E. (2021). *Democracy and Association*.
- Zakhour, S. (2020). The democratic legitimacy of public participation in planning: Contrasting optimistic, critical, and agnostic understandings. *Planning Theory*, 19(4), 349–370.