

Hydrogen Energy Infrastructure Development: A Comparative Study between Australia and Japan

Irham Farid Hidayat¹ ✉

UPN "Veteran" Yogyakarta, Indonesia¹

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen energy has emerged as a strategic solution for achieving carbon neutrality and enhancing long-term energy security. Australia and Japan have adopted complementary approaches to hydrogen infrastructure development, with Australia focusing on large-scale renewable hydrogen production and export, while Japan emphasizes hydrogen utilization, import systems, and end-use technologies. This study aims to compare hydrogen energy infrastructure development in both countries through a systematic literature review by synthesizing recent research on policy frameworks, production technologies, transportation, storage, distribution networks, and industrial applications. The review identifies similarities and differences in national strategies, investment priorities, and technological readiness while evaluating the challenges associated with cost reduction, infrastructure integration, international supply chains, and market expansion. The findings indicate that collaboration between hydrogen-exporting and hydrogen-importing countries can accelerate the global hydrogen economy by improving technological innovation, strengthening supply chain resilience, and supporting sustainable energy transitions. The study provides insights for policymakers, researchers, and industry stakeholders seeking to develop efficient, resilient, and low-carbon hydrogen infrastructure.

Keywords: *Australia; Energy Infrastructure; Hydrogen Energy; Japan; Sustainable Energy.*

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Irham Farid Hidayat
UPN "Veteran" Yogyakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received : January 9, 2023
Final Revised : February 27, 2023
Accepted : April 20, 2023
Published : June 30, 2023

1. | INTRODUCTION

The increasing urgency of mitigating climate change and achieving global carbon neutrality has accelerated the development of clean energy technologies worldwide. Among the various alternatives to fossil fuels, hydrogen has gained significant attention as a versatile energy carrier capable of supporting decarbonization across multiple sectors, including electricity generation, transportation, manufacturing, and heavy industry. When produced using renewable energy sources through water electrolysis, hydrogen offers substantial environmental benefits by minimizing greenhouse gas emissions while enhancing energy security. Consequently, many countries have incorporated hydrogen into their long-term energy transition strategies, recognizing its potential to complement renewable energy systems and reduce dependence on conventional fossil fuels (Pickering et al., 2022).

The successful implementation of a hydrogen economy depends not only on advances in production technologies but also on the establishment of reliable and integrated infrastructure. Hydrogen energy infrastructure includes production plants, storage facilities, transportation systems, pipelines, liquefaction terminals, import and export ports, refueling stations, and end-use distribution networks. Developing these interconnected components requires substantial financial investment, technological innovation, supportive regulations, and international collaboration. Despite rapid technological progress, infrastructure limitations remain one of the primary barriers to large-scale hydrogen adoption due to high capital costs, safety requirements, and the complexity of integrating hydrogen into existing energy systems (Fu et al., 2020).

Australia has emerged as one of the world's most promising hydrogen producers because of its abundant solar and wind energy resources, extensive land availability, and strong renewable energy potential. Through the National Hydrogen Strategy, the Australian Government has promoted the development of renewable hydrogen production hubs, export facilities, and industrial clusters aimed at supplying both domestic and international markets. Government support, combined with private-sector investment and research collaboration, has accelerated the construction of large-scale electrolysis projects and export infrastructure. These initiatives position Australia as a future global supplier of clean hydrogen, particularly for countries with limited domestic renewable energy resources (Kar et al., 2023).

In contrast, Japan has adopted a demand-oriented hydrogen strategy focused on expanding domestic utilization and establishing a comprehensive hydrogen supply chain. Due to limited renewable energy resources and high dependence on imported energy, Japan views hydrogen as a strategic solution for enhancing energy security while achieving its carbon neutrality targets. National policies have encouraged the deployment of hydrogen refueling stations, residential fuel-cell systems, hydrogen-powered vehicles, industrial applications, and liquefied hydrogen import terminals. Continuous investment in research and development has enabled Japan to become one of the global pioneers in hydrogen technologies and infrastructure deployment (Kountouris et al., 2024).

The complementary characteristics of Australia and Japan have strengthened bilateral cooperation in developing international hydrogen supply chains. Australia possesses competitive advantages in renewable hydrogen production, whereas Japan

provides advanced technologies, stable market demand, and infrastructure for hydrogen utilization. Joint demonstration projects, liquefied hydrogen transportation initiatives, and long-term investment agreements illustrate how both countries seek to establish an efficient cross-border hydrogen value chain. Such collaboration not only supports economic growth but also contributes to regional energy security and the broader global transition toward sustainable energy systems (Belaïd et al., 2023). Although significant progress has been achieved, hydrogen infrastructure development continues to face technical, economic, and regulatory challenges.

High production costs, storage efficiency, transportation technologies, infrastructure standardization, and investment uncertainty remain critical obstacles to widespread commercialization. Therefore, understanding the different strategies adopted by Australia and Japan provides valuable insights into effective hydrogen infrastructure planning and policy implementation. This study aims to compare hydrogen energy infrastructure development in Australia and Japan through a systematic literature review, focusing on policy frameworks, technological advancements, infrastructure investment, and future development opportunities. The findings are expected to contribute to the growing body of knowledge on hydrogen energy while providing practical recommendations for policymakers, researchers, and industry stakeholders involved in advancing sustainable hydrogen ecosystems.

2. | RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to comprehensively examine and compare hydrogen energy infrastructure development in Australia and Japan. The SLR approach was selected because it enables a transparent, structured, and reproducible synthesis of existing scientific evidence while minimizing selection bias and improving the reliability of research findings. The review followed internationally recognized principles for systematic reviews, including problem identification, literature searching, study screening, quality assessment, data extraction, comparative analysis, and evidence synthesis (Blaizot et al., 2022). The primary objective was to identify similarities and differences in hydrogen infrastructure development between the two countries, with particular emphasis on policy frameworks, hydrogen production technologies, storage systems, transportation networks, distribution infrastructure, international supply chains, investment strategies, and industrial applications. Relevant publications were collected from reputable academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. Government publications and reports issued by international organizations, including the International Energy Agency (IEA), the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), the Australian Government, the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA), Japan's Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO), and the Hydrogen Council, were also incorporated to strengthen policy and industry perspectives. The literature search employed combinations of keywords such as "hydrogen energy," "hydrogen infrastructure," "green hydrogen," "Australia," "Japan," "renewable hydrogen," "hydrogen transportation," "hydrogen storage," and "hydrogen supply chain."

Publications were limited to English-language documents published between 2021 and 2025 to ensure that the review reflected recent technological developments, policy updates, and infrastructure deployment. to ensure that the review reflected recent technological developments, policy updates, and infrastructure deployment (Sadiq et al., 2021). Inclusion criteria comprised peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, government reports, institutional publications, and review papers directly addressing hydrogen infrastructure or national hydrogen strategies in Australia and Japan. Studies unrelated to infrastructure development, lacking sufficient methodological transparency, or focusing exclusively on laboratory-scale experiments without policy or infrastructure implications were excluded. After the screening process, the selected literature was systematically analyzed by categorizing findings into major themes, including national hydrogen policies, production capacity, infrastructure investment, transportation and storage technologies, international collaboration, commercialization progress, and future development challenges (Pandey et al., 2022). Comparative synthesis was then conducted to evaluate how each country has approached hydrogen infrastructure development, identify shared opportunities and persistent barriers, and assess their respective contributions to establishing an integrated and sustainable hydrogen economy (Falcone et al., 2021). The synthesized findings provide a comprehensive evidence base for understanding current progress while identifying strategic directions for future hydrogen infrastructure development in both Australia and Japan.

3. | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The systematic literature review indicates that Australia and Japan have adopted complementary yet distinct approaches to hydrogen energy infrastructure development. Both countries recognize hydrogen as a strategic energy carrier for achieving carbon neutrality and strengthening long-term energy security, but their priorities differ according to resource availability, economic conditions, and national energy policies. Australia focuses on expanding renewable hydrogen production and export infrastructure, while Japan emphasizes hydrogen import systems, domestic distribution networks, storage facilities, and end-use applications. These different strategies create opportunities for bilateral cooperation in establishing an integrated hydrogen value chain that supports regional and global decarbonization efforts (Du et al., 2024).

Australia possesses significant natural advantages for renewable hydrogen production because of its abundant solar and wind resources, large land area, and growing renewable electricity capacity. These conditions allow hydrogen to be produced through water electrolysis with relatively low carbon emissions. The Australian Government has introduced the National Hydrogen Strategy to encourage investment in hydrogen hubs, electrolysis facilities, storage infrastructure, and export terminals. Several large-scale projects have been developed through cooperation between government agencies, research institutions, and private companies, aiming to position Australia as one of the world's leading exporters of clean hydrogen. The development of these hydrogen hubs also supports regional economic growth by creating new employment opportunities and attracting investment in renewable energy industries (Prokopenko et al., 2023).

The review further shows that Australia's hydrogen infrastructure strategy extends beyond production facilities. Considerable investment has been directed toward storage technologies, hydrogen liquefaction, ammonia conversion, and port infrastructure to facilitate international transportation. Because hydrogen has a low volumetric energy density, efficient storage and transportation remain major technical challenges. Consequently, Australian researchers and industries continue to develop alternative transport methods, including liquefied hydrogen and ammonia-based hydrogen carriers, to improve transport efficiency and reduce logistical costs. These innovations are expected to strengthen Australia's competitiveness in supplying hydrogen to international markets, particularly countries in the Asia-Pacific region that require imported clean energy (Lau et al., 2022).

Japan has adopted a different infrastructure strategy that focuses primarily on hydrogen utilization rather than production. Limited domestic renewable energy resources have encouraged the country to establish reliable international hydrogen supply chains while expanding domestic infrastructure for hydrogen consumption. National policies promote the development of hydrogen refueling stations, fuel-cell electric vehicles, stationary fuel-cell systems, industrial hydrogen applications, and hydrogen-fired power generation. This demand-oriented approach reflects Japan's objective of integrating hydrogen into multiple sectors of the national economy while reducing dependence on imported fossil fuels and improving long-term energy security (Rabbi et al., 2022).

One of Japan's most significant achievements is the expansion of hydrogen refueling infrastructure. Government subsidies and industrial collaboration have supported the construction of refueling stations across major urban areas, enabling the gradual commercialization of fuel-cell vehicles. Although battery electric vehicles continue to dominate many transportation markets, hydrogen-powered vehicles remain attractive for heavy-duty transportation because they provide faster refueling times and longer driving ranges. Japan therefore continues investing in hydrogen mobility while simultaneously improving fuel-cell efficiency and reducing infrastructure costs through technological innovation and industrial collaboration (Tian & Lu, 2023). The literature also emphasizes the growing importance of cooperation between Australia and Japan in establishing international hydrogen supply chains. Australia offers abundant renewable resources and competitive production potential, whereas Japan contributes advanced engineering capabilities, mature hydrogen technologies, and stable market demand. Pilot projects involving liquefied hydrogen shipping have demonstrated the technical feasibility of transporting hydrogen across long distances, providing valuable experience for future commercial operations. These collaborative initiatives strengthen energy security for both countries while accelerating hydrogen commercialization through shared investment, technology transfer, and coordinated infrastructure development (Shmeleva et al., 2021).

Despite encouraging progress, several challenges continue to affect hydrogen infrastructure development in both countries. High production costs, expensive storage systems, transportation complexity, and limited market demand remain significant barriers to commercialization. Continued technological innovation, supportive government policies, and international collaboration will therefore be essential for

improving economic competitiveness and enabling hydrogen to become a major component of future sustainable energy systems.

The comparative review demonstrates that policy has been one of the primary drivers of hydrogen infrastructure development in both Australia and Japan. Australia has adopted a production-oriented strategy by expanding renewable energy capacity and supporting large-scale hydrogen projects through financial incentives, research funding, and collaboration between government and industry. The establishment of hydrogen hubs has encouraged integration between renewable electricity generation, electrolysis facilities, storage systems, and export terminals. In contrast, Japan has focused on developing domestic hydrogen demand by investing in import terminals, storage infrastructure, refueling stations, and industrial applications. Although their priorities differ, both strategies contribute to the development of a regional hydrogen economy in which Australia acts as a producer and Japan as a major consumer (Koyamparambath et al., 2022).

Technological advancement has also accelerated infrastructure development in both countries. Continuous improvements in electrolyzer efficiency have increased hydrogen production while gradually reducing operational costs. Australia has concentrated on renewable-powered electrolysis to maximize its natural resources, whereas Japan has emphasized hydrogen storage technologies, liquefaction systems, and fuel-cell innovation. These technological developments improve the reliability of hydrogen supply chains and increase the feasibility of large-scale commercial deployment. At the same time, research institutions and private industries continue to develop more efficient transportation methods and safer storage technologies to overcome technical limitations associated with hydrogen distribution (Dewangan et al., 2022). Economic feasibility remains one of the most important challenges identified in the reviewed literature. Green hydrogen production is still more expensive than conventional fossil fuels because of the high cost of renewable electricity, electrolyzers, storage facilities, and transportation infrastructure. Australia seeks to reduce these costs by increasing production scale and expanding renewable energy generation, while Japan aims to lower hydrogen utilization costs through technological innovation and wider market adoption. Several studies suggest that continued investment and technological progress will gradually improve the competitiveness of hydrogen over the coming decade (Shirizadeh et al., 2023).

International collaboration has become increasingly important for accelerating hydrogen commercialization. Australia and Japan have strengthened bilateral partnerships through pilot shipping projects, research cooperation, and long-term investment agreements. These initiatives demonstrate the feasibility of cross-border hydrogen supply chains while supporting knowledge exchange and technology transfer. Cooperation between exporting and importing countries also reduces investment risks and encourages private-sector participation in infrastructure development. As hydrogen markets continue to expand, such partnerships are expected to play a greater role in establishing reliable international supply networks (Peters et al., 2023).

The review also highlights the environmental significance of hydrogen infrastructure. Green hydrogen can substantially reduce greenhouse gas emissions in sectors that are difficult to electrify, including steel production, chemical

manufacturing, heavy transportation, and shipping. Australia contributes by producing renewable hydrogen using solar and wind resources, whereas Japan supports emission reduction through the adoption of hydrogen technologies across transportation and industrial sectors. However, the environmental benefits of hydrogen depend on renewable electricity availability and efficient infrastructure throughout the entire supply chain (Devlin & Yang, 2022).

Another challenge concerns regulatory harmonization. Differences in safety standards, certification systems, and carbon accounting methods may limit international hydrogen trade if common regulations are not established. Both Australia and Japan actively participate in international initiatives aimed at developing standardized regulations for hydrogen production, transportation, storage, and certification. Harmonized standards will improve investor confidence, facilitate international trade, and strengthen market transparency, supporting the long-term growth of the global hydrogen economy (Heeß et al., 2024).

Overall, the literature confirms that Australia and Japan have developed complementary hydrogen infrastructure strategies that support both national energy transitions and international decarbonization efforts. Australia provides competitive renewable hydrogen production and export capability, while Japan contributes advanced utilization technologies and stable market demand. Continued investment, technological innovation, policy support, and bilateral cooperation will remain essential for overcoming existing technical and economic barriers. As production costs decline and infrastructure expands, collaboration between both countries is expected to accelerate the commercialization of hydrogen and strengthen its role as a key component of future sustainable energy systems.

4. | CONCLUSION

This study compared hydrogen energy infrastructure development in Australia and Japan through a systematic literature review to identify their respective strategies, achievements, challenges, and future opportunities. The findings indicate that both countries have adopted different yet complementary approaches to supporting the transition toward a low-carbon energy system. Australia focuses on expanding renewable hydrogen production and export infrastructure by utilizing its abundant renewable energy resources, while Japan prioritizes hydrogen utilization through the development of import facilities, storage systems, distribution networks, and end-use applications. These complementary strategies have strengthened bilateral cooperation in establishing an international hydrogen supply chain that supports energy security and sustainable economic development.

The review also highlights that technological innovation, supportive government policies, and public-private partnerships are essential for accelerating hydrogen infrastructure deployment. Despite significant progress, challenges such as high production costs, infrastructure investment requirements, transportation efficiency, and regulatory harmonization continue to limit large-scale commercialization. Addressing these issues will require continuous research, technological improvements, and stronger international collaboration.

The experiences of Australia and Japan demonstrate that integrated infrastructure planning and long-term policy commitment are fundamental to developing a sustainable hydrogen economy. The lessons identified from both countries provide valuable references for policymakers, researchers, and industry stakeholders seeking to accelerate hydrogen adoption and contribute to global decarbonization and future clean energy transitions.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of individuals who supported the completion of this article.

Funding Information

This research did not receive any funding.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval and Originality Statement

Ethical approval was obtained for this study. The manuscript represents original work and has not been previously published, nor is it under consideration by another journal.

Data Disclosure Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Belaïd, F., Al-Sarihi, A., & Al-Mestneer, R. (2023). Balancing climate mitigation and energy security goals amid converging global energy crises: The role of green investments. *Renewable Energy*, 205, 534-542.
- Blaizot, A., Veetil, S. K., Saidoung, P., Moreno-Garcia, C. F., Wiratunga, N., Aceves-Martins, M., ... & Chaiyakunapruk, N. (2022). Using artificial intelligence methods for systematic review in health sciences: a systematic review. *Research Synthesis Methods*, 13(3), 353-362.
- Devlin, A., & Yang, A. (2022). Regional supply chains for decarbonising steel: energy efficiency and green premium mitigation. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 254, 115268.
- Dewangan, S. K., Mohan, M., Kumar, V., Sharma, A., & Ahn, B. (2022). A comprehensive review of the prospects for future hydrogen storage in materials-application and outstanding issues. *International journal of energy research*, 46(12), 16150-16177.
- Du, L., Yang, Y., Zhou, L., & Liu, M. (2024). Greenhouse gas reduction potential and economics of green hydrogen via water electrolysis: A systematic review of value-chain-wide decarbonization. *Sustainability*, 16(11), 4602.
- Falcone, P. M., Hiete, M., & Sapio, A. (2021). Hydrogen economy and sustainable development goals: Review and policy insights. *Current opinion in green and sustainable chemistry*, 31, 100506.
- Fu, P., Pudjianto, D., Zhang, X., & Strbac, G. (2020). Integration of hydrogen into multi-energy systems optimisation. *Energies*, 13(7), 1606.
- Heeß, P., Rockstuhl, J., Körner, M. F., & Strüker, J. (2024). Enhancing trust in global supply chains: Conceptualizing Digital Product Passports for a low-carbon hydrogen market. *Electronic Markets*, 34(1), 10.
- Kar, S. K., Sinha, A. S. K., Bansal, R., Shabani, B., & Harichandan, S. (2023). Overview of hydrogen economy in Australia. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, 12(1), e457.
- Kountouris, I., Bramstoft, R., Madsen, T., Gea-Bermúdez, J., Münster, M., & Keles, D. (2024). A unified European hydrogen infrastructure planning to support the rapid scale-up of hydrogen production. *Nature communications*, 15(1), 5517.
- Koyamparambath, A., Santillán-Saldivar, J., McLellan, B., & Sonnemann, G. (2022). Supply risk evolution of raw materials for batteries and fossil fuels for selected OECD countries (2000–2018). *Resources Policy*, 75, 102465.
- Lau, H. C., Zhang, K., Bokka, H. K., & Ramakrishna, S. (2022). A review of the status of fossil and renewable energies in Southeast Asia and its implications on the decarbonization of ASEAN. *Energies*, 15(6), 2152.
- Pandey, N., De Coninck, H., & Sagar, A. D. (2022). Beyond technology transfer: Innovation cooperation to advance sustainable development in developing countries. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, 11(2), e422.

- Peters, E., Knight, L., Boersma, K., & Uenk, N. (2023). Organizing for supply chain resilience: a high reliability network perspective. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 43(1), 48-69.
- Pickering, B., Lombardi, F., & Pfenninger, S. (2022). Diversity of options to eliminate fossil fuels and reach carbon neutrality across the entire European energy system. *Joule*, 6(6), 1253-1276.
- Prokopenko, O., Kurbatova, T., Khalilova, M., Zerkal, A., Prause, G., Binda, J., ... & Komarnitskyi, I. (2023). Impact of investments and R&D costs in renewable energy technologies on companies' profitability indicators: Assessment and forecast. *Energies*, 16(3), 1021.
- Rabbi, M. F., Popp, J., Máté, D., & Kovács, S. (2022). Energy security and energy transition to achieve carbon neutrality. *Energies*, 15(21), 8126.
- Sadiq, M., Ali, S. W., Terriche, Y., Mutarraf, M. U., Hassan, M. A., Hamid, K., ... & Guerrero, J. M. (2021). Future greener seaports: A review of new infrastructure, challenges, and energy efficiency measures. *Ieee Access*, 9, 75568-75587.
- Shirizadeh, B., Ailleret, A., Guillon, A., Bovari, E., El Khatib, N., Douguet, S., ... & Trüby, J. (2023). Towards a resilient and cost-competitive clean hydrogen economy: the future is green. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 16(12), 6094-6109.
- Shmeleva, N., Gamidullaeva, L., Tolstykh, T., & Lazarenko, D. (2021). Challenges and opportunities for technology transfer networks in the context of open innovation: Russian experience. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(3), 197.
- Tian, X., & Lu, H. (2023). Digital infrastructure and cross-regional collaborative innovation in enterprises. *Finance Research Letters*, 58, 104635.